


Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Narcissus cyclamineus

1. National level

Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: **ATL MED**

map-distribution



2. Biogeographical or marine level

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **ATLANTIC**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Moreno Sáiz, J.C. & Sáinz Ollero, H. (1992). Atlas corológico de las monocotiledoneas endémicas de la Península Ibérica e Islas Baleares. ICONA Ser. Técnica. Madrid, 354

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

- 2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2: 33
- 2.3.2 Date of range determination: 2007
- 2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range: Good e.g based on extensive surveys
- 2.3.4 Range trend: Stable (=)
- 2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):
- 2.3.6 Range trend period: 1995-2007
- 2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend:
and/or specify

2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:

Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
33	33	Number of localities

- 2.4.2 Date of population estimation: 2007
- 2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation: From comprehensive inventory
- 2.4.4 Quality of data on area: Good e.g based on extensive surveys
- 2.4.5 Population trend: Stable (=)
- 2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):
- 2.4.7 Population trend period: 1995-2007
- 2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:

Narcissus cyclamineus

and/or specify:

2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):

2.4.10 Main pressures: 101 - modification of cultivation practices
162 - artificial planting
250 Taking / Removal of flora, general

2.4.11 Threats 101 - modification of cultivation practices
162 - artificial planting
250 Taking / Removal of flora, general

2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species: Bosques umbrosos, prados húmedos y riberas de cuencas bajas de ríos. Megaforbi

2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):

2.5.3 Date of estimation:

2.5.4 Quality of the data:

2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:

2.5.6 Trend period:

2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend: NotApplicable

Other (specify):

2.6 Future prospects for the species: Good prospects - species expected to survive and prosper

2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):

2.7.2 Favourable reference population:

2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):

2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Unknown (XX)	

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **MEDITERRANEAN**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Moreno Sáiz, J.C. & Sáinz Ollero, H. (1992). Atlas corológico de las monocotiledoneas endémicas de la Península Ibérica e Islas Baleares. ICONA Ser. Técnica. Madrid, 354

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2: 100

2.3.2 Date of range determination: 2007

2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range: Good e.g based on extensive surveys

2.3.4 Range trend: Stable (=)

2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):

2.3.6 Range trend period: 1995-2007

2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend: Unknown

Narcissus cyclamineus

and/or specify

2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:

Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
1		Number of localities

2.4.2 Date of population estimation: 2007

2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation: From comprehensive inventory

2.4.4 Quality of data on area: Good e.g based on extensive surveys

2.4.5 Population trend: Stable (=)

2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):

2.4.7 Population trend period: 1995-2007

2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend: Unknown

and/or specify:

2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):

2.4.10 Main pressures: 101 - modification of cultivation practices
162 - artificial planting
250 Taking / Removal of flora, general

2.4.11 Threats 101 - modification of cultivation practices
162 - artificial planting
250 Taking / Removal of flora, general

2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species: Bosques umbrosos, prados húmedos y riberas de cuencas bajas de ríos

2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):

2.5.3 Date of estimation:

2.5.4 Quality of the data:

2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:

2.5.6 Trend period:

2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend: NotApplicable

Other (specify):

2.6 Future prospects for the species: Good prospects - species expected to survive and prosper

2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):

2.7.2 Favourable reference population:

2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):

2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Unknown (XX)	

Narcissus cyclamineus